arXiv:math/0004023v2 [math.AG] 6 Jun 2000

FAMILIES OF SINGULAR RATIONAL CURVES

STEFAN KEBEKUS

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Families of singular curves 2
2.1. Reduction to families of plane cubics 3
2.2. Proof of theorem 2.4 5
3. Applications 6
3.1. Families of singular curves on projective varieties 6
3.2. Existence of a finite tangent morphism 8
3.3. Uniqueness of rational curves through 2 points 9
3.4. Characterization of $\mathbb{P}_n$ 9
References 10

1. INTRODUCTION

This work is concerned with the study of algebraic families of rational curves. The main theorem yields a splitting-criterion for families of singular curves. In some cases, this effectively complements the bend-and-break argument which appears in the work of Mori. We apply this result to projective varieties X which are covered by a family of rational curves of minimal degrees. More precisely, we fix a general point $x \in X$ and prove in theorem 3.3 that the subfamily of singular rational curves which pass through x is at most one-dimensional. Furthermore, we describe the singularities of the curves.

This has further consequences: first, we show that the tangent map which sends a curve through x to its tangent direction in $\mathbb{P}(T_X^*|_x)$ is a finite morphism. We believe that this will be useful in the further study of Fano manifolds with Picard number one.

For the second application, recall Mori's bend-and-break which asserts that if $x, y \in X$ are two general points, then there are at most finitely many curves in the family which contain both x and y. In this work we shed some light on the question as to whether two sufficiently general points actually define a unique curve.

Finally, we give a characterization of the projective space which, in characteristic 0, improves on some of the known generalizations of Kobayashi-Ochiai's theorem.

Date: February 8, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J45, Secondary 14C15.

Key words and phrases. Rational curves of minimal degree, Fano Manifolds, Bend-and-Break, Characterization of $\mathbb{P}_n$.

The author gratefully acknowledges support by a Forschungsstipendium of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise noted, we work over an algebraically closed field k of arbitrary characteristic and use the standard notation of algebraic geometry. For spaces of rational curves, our principal reference is [Kol96].

Acknowledgement. The paper was written while the author enjoyed the hospitality of RIMS in Kyoto. The author is grateful to Y. Miyaoka for the invitation and to the members of that institute for creating a stimulating atmosphere. He would like to thank S. Helmke, J.-M. Hwang, S. Kovács, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori for a number of discussions on the subject.

2. FAMILIES OF SINGULAR CURVES

The subject of the present section is a splitting criterion for algebraic families of singular rational curves. Before stating the main result, however, we need to introduce notation. Without this, the formulation would be difficult.

Notation 2.1. Let C be a curve and $\eta : \tilde{C} \to C$ the normalization. We say that C is "immersed" if η has rank one at all points of $\tilde{C}$.

Notation 2.2. Let C be an irreducible and reduced singular rational curve and $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(C)$ a line bundle of degree $k > 0$. Let $(C_i)_{i\in\{0,1\}}$ be the nodal and cuspidal plane cubic. We say that a smooth point $x \in C$ is "general with respect to L", if $\beta_i^*(L) \not\cong \mathcal{O}_{C_i}\left(k\beta_i^{-1}(x)\right)$ for all birational morphisms $\beta_i : C_i \to C$ and for all $i \in \{0,1\}$.

Lemma 2.3. *If C and L are as above, then the set of points which are general with respect to L is Zariski-open in C.*

Proof. As a first step, note that if $x \in C$ is a smooth point, $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(C_i)$ an automorphism and $\beta_i : C_i \to C$ a birational morphism, then it is clear that

$$(g \circ \beta_i)^{-1}(L) \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_i}\left(k(g\circ\beta_i)^{-1}(x)\right)$$

if and only if $\beta_i^{-1}(L) \cong \mathcal{O}_{C_i}\left(k\beta_i^{-1}(x)\right)$.

To conclude, it suffices to note that —up to composition with automorphisms— there are only finitely many birational morphisms $C_i \to C$. Recall that for a given bundle $L_i \in \operatorname{Pic}(C_i)$, we have that $\mathcal{O}_{C_i}(ky) \not\cong L_i$ for all but finitely many smooth points $y \in C_i$. ☐

The following is the main result of this section and the technical core of this paper.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\pi : X \to Y$ be a projective morphism between proper positive-dimensional varieties such that the general fiber is an irreducible and singular rational curve. Assume that π is equi-dimensional and that there exists a section $\sigma_\infty \subset X$ and a morphism $\gamma : X \to Z$ which maps σ_∞ to a point and is finite on the complement of σ_∞. Let $L \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ be a line bundle whose restriction to π-fibers is of positive degree. Assume furthermore that one of the following holds:*

1. *all π-fibers are immersed curves*
2. *no π-fiber is immersed and there exists a closed point $y \in Y$ with reduced and irreducible fiber $X_y := \pi^{-1}(y)$ such that $\sigma_\infty \cap X_y$ is a smooth point which is general with respect to $L|_{X_y}$.*

3. *the restriction of L to π-fibers is of degree 2 and there exists a closed point $y \in Y$ with reduced and irreducible fiber $X_y := \pi^{-1}(y)$ such that $\sigma_\infty \cap X_y$ is general with respect to $L|_{X_y}$.*

Then there exists a point $y \in Y$ such that $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is not irreducible or not generically reduced.

The remaining part of section 2 is devoted to the proof of theorem 2.4. The reader who is predominantly interested in the applications may want to skip the rest of this section on first reading. We remark that statement (1) has already been considered by several authors, e.g. [CS95, sect. 2].

In order to prove the theorem, we argue by contradiction. More precisely, we assume the following throughout the present section 2.

Assumptions 2.5. Assume that $\pi : X \to Y$ is a family as described in theorem 2.4, but all fibers of π are irreducible and generically reduced. In particular, the reduction of any π-fiber is a singular rational curve. Since the statement of theorem 2.4 is stable under finite base change and under restriction to positive-dimensional subvarieties of Y, we assume without loss of generality that Y is a smooth curve.

2.1. **Reduction to families of plane cubics.**

As a first step in the proof of theorem 2.4, we show that the family $X \to Y$ can be replaced by a family where every fiber is isomorphic to a plane cubic. Although we need this only over smooth curves, we formulate more generally for arbitrary normal bases. The succeeding lemma is the key to the reduction.

Lemma 2.6. *Consider the following diagram of surjective projective morphisms:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{N} \subset & \tilde{X} \arrow[rr, "\eta", "normalization"'] \arrow[dr, dashed, "\exists\alpha"'] \arrow[ddr, bend right, "\tilde{\pi}"'] & & X \arrow[ddl, bend left, "\pi"] & \supset N \\
& & X' \arrow[ur, dashed, "\exists\beta"'] \arrow[d, dashed, "\exists\pi'"'] & & \\
& & Y & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where π is projective, Y is a smooth curve and the following holds

- *$\tilde{\pi}$ is a $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle, i.e. a smooth morphism whose fibers are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_1$*
- *all π-fibers are irreducible and generically reduced singular rational curves*
- *there exists a section $N \cong Y$ and a subscheme $\tilde{N} \subset \eta^{-1}(N)$ so that for all closed points $y \in Y$, the scheme-theoretic intersection $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(y) \cap N$ is a zero-dimensional subscheme of length 2*

Then there exists a factorization $\eta = \beta \circ \alpha$ via a variety X' such that all fibers of π' are rational curves with a single cusp or node.

Proof. Set

$$\mathcal{A} := \mathrm{Image}\,(\eta_*(\mathcal{J}_{\tilde{N}}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X \to \eta_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}})) \subset \eta_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}),$$

where $\mathcal{J}_{\tilde{N}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is the ideal sheaf of $\tilde{N}$. It follows immediately that $\mathcal{A}$ is a coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras. Define $X' := \mathbf{Spec}(\mathcal{A})$. The existence of α, β and π' follows by construction.

In order to see that fibers of π' are of the desired type, let $y \in Y$ be an arbitrary closed point. We are finished if we show that the fiber $(\pi')^{-1}(y)$ has a single singularity which is a simple node or cusp.

After replacing the base Y by an affine neighborhood of y and performing a base change, if necessary, we may assume that there exists a relatively ample divisor $D \subset X$ which intersects every π-fiber in a single smooth point. We may furthermore assume that $\tilde{X} \cong Y \times \mathbb{P}_1$. Write $U := X \setminus D$, write $\tilde{U} := \eta^{-1}(U)$ and note that both U and $\tilde{U}$ are affine. By choosing a bundle coordinate z on $\tilde{X}$, we may write $U \cong \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, $Y \cong \operatorname{Spec}(S)$ and $\tilde{U} \cong \operatorname{Spec}(S \otimes_k k[z])$.

Because $N \cong H$ we can decompose $R = \mathcal{J}_N(U) \oplus \pi^{\#}(S)$. Since $\eta^{\#}(\mathcal{J}_N(U)) \subset \mathcal{J}_{\tilde{N}}$ by construction, we have the equation of rings

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(U) &= \operatorname{Image}\Big(\mathcal{J}_{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{U}) \oplus R \to S \otimes k[z]\Big)\\ &= \operatorname{Image}\Big(\mathcal{J}_{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{U}) \oplus S \to S \otimes k[z]\Big)\end{aligned}$$

If z is chosen properly, tensoring with $k(y)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(U) \otimes k(y) &= \operatorname{Image}\Big(\mathcal{J}_{\tilde{N}}(\tilde{U}) \otimes k(y) \oplus k(y) \to k(y)[z]\Big)\\ &= (z^2 - c) + k(y) \subset k(y)[z]\end{aligned}$$

for a number $c \in k(y)$. An elementary calculation shows that this ring is generated by the elements $z^2 - c$, $z(z^2 - c)$ and the constants $k(y)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\beta^{-1}\left(\pi^{-1}(y) \cap U\right) &= \operatorname{Spec} k(y)\left[z^2 - c, z(z^2 - c)\right]\\ &= \operatorname{Spec} k(y)[a, b] \,/\, \left(b^2 - a^2(a + c)\right)\end{aligned}$$

which defines a cusp if $c = 0$ and a node otherwise. □

In the proposition above, the assumption that $\tilde{\pi}$ is a $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle is automatically satisfied in characteristic zero. In positive characteristic, it can be necessary to perform a finite base change before the normalization yields a bundle. See [Kol96, II.2] for a detailed account of this phenomenon.

Proposition 2.7. *If $\pi : X \to Y$ satisfies the assumptions 2.5, then there is a family $\pi' : X' \to Y'$ which satisfies assumptions 2.5 as well, and all fibers are rational curves with a single node or cusp.*

Proof. The strategy of this proof is to find a sequence of base changes which modify X and Y so that lemma 2.6 can be applied.

First, after finite base change, we may assume that the normalization $\tilde{X}$ is a $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle over Y. Recall that smooth morphisms are stable under base change. Thus, even after further base changes, the normalization of the pull-back of X will still be a $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle over the base.

Now let $X_{\mathrm{Sing},\pi} \subset X$ be the singular locus of π-fibers and let $N \subset X_{\mathrm{Sing},\pi}$ be an irreducible component which maps surjectively onto Y. Since Y is assumed to be a smooth curve, N is actually finite over Y. If $\pi|_N$ is not isomorphic, perform a base change. Thus, we assume that $N \cong Y$.

As a next step, let $\eta : \tilde{X} \to X$ be the normalization and consider the relative Hilbert-scheme $\pi_H : \mathrm{Hilb}_2(\eta^{-1}(N)/Y) \to Y$ of zero-dimensional subschemes of length 2 in $\eta^{-1}(N)$ over Y. Recall the fact that taking the Hilbert-scheme commutes with base change (see e.g. [Kol96, I.1.4.1.5]). In our setup this means that if $Y' \to Y$ is a morphism, then

$$\mathrm{Hilb}_2(\eta^{-1}(N) \times_Y Y'/Y') \cong \mathrm{Hilb}_2(\eta^{-1}(N)/Y) \times_Y Y'.$$

This has two important consequences.

First, by choice of N, if $y \in Y$ is a general point, then $\eta^{-1}(N) \cap \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(y)$ is zero-dimensional of length at least two, so that $\pi_H^{-1}(y)$ is not empty. It follows that π_H is surjective.

Second, let $V \subset (\mathrm{Hilb}_2(\eta^{-1}(N)/Y))_{\mathrm{red}}$ be a subvariety which is finite over Y. Let Y' be the normalization of V and perform another base change. Since taking Hilb commutes with base change, Y' can be seen as a subscheme of $\mathrm{Hilb}_2(\eta^{-1}(N) \times_Y Y'/Y')$ and therefore defines a subscheme $\tilde{N} \subset \widetilde{X \times_Y Y'} = \tilde{X} \times_Y Y'$. Thus, all the prerequisites of lemma 2.6 are fulfilled, and we can apply that lemma in order to obtain X'. Because our construction involves only finite base change, it is clear that X' satisfies the requirements of theorem 2.4 if and only if X does. ☐

Remark 2.8. Under the assumptions 2.5, let X_y be a general π-fiber. If X_y is not immersed, then it is clear from the construction that one can choose $\pi' : X' \to Y'$ so that any π'-fiber is isomorphic to a cuspidal plane cubic. Analogously, if all π-fibers are immersed, the construction automatically yields a family of curves where each fiber is isomorphic to a nodal plane cubic.

2.2. **Proof of theorem 2.4.**

We will now employ the line bundle L in order to find two disjoint sections $\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_1 \subset X$ which cannot be contracted. The following elementary lemma says that this is not possible.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \to Y$ be a smooth minimal ruled surface. Assume that there are three distinct sections $\tilde{\sigma}_0$, $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty$ where $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^2 < 0$. Then $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ are not disjoint.*

Proof. Write $\tilde{\sigma}_0 \equiv \tilde{\sigma}_\infty + a_0 C$, $\tilde{\sigma}_1 \equiv \tilde{\sigma}_\infty + a_1 C$ where $\equiv$ denotes numerical equivalence and C is a general fiber of π. Since $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ are effective, $\tilde{\sigma}_{0,1}.\tilde{\sigma}_\infty \geq 0$ and thus $a_0, a_1 \geq -\tilde{\sigma}_\infty^2 > 0$. Therefore $\tilde{\sigma}_0.\tilde{\sigma}_1 = \tilde{\sigma}_\infty^2 + a_0 + a_1 > 0$. ☐

With this preparation we can now finish the proof of theorem 2.4. We stick to the assumptions 2.5 and let $\pi : X \to Y$ be the family of curves with a single cusp or node whose existence is guaranteed by proposition 2.7. Let $\eta : \tilde{X} \to X$ be the normalization and recall that the natural map $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{X} \to Y$ gives $\tilde{X}$ the structure of a $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle.

2.2.1. *Proof of case (1) of theorem 2.4.*

In this setting, we may assume that all π-fibers are nodal plane cubics. After base change, we may assume that the preimage $\eta^{-1}(X_{\mathrm{Sing}})$ contains two disjoint sections $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_1$. If $\sigma_\infty \subset X_{\mathrm{Sing}}$, then we can choose $\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_1 \subset \eta^{-1}(\sigma_\infty)$ and both $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ can be contracted. This is clearly impossible. On the other hand, if $\sigma_\infty \not\subset X_{\mathrm{Sing}}$, then $\tilde{X}$ contains the section $\eta^{-1}(\sigma_\infty)$, which can be contracted, and the sections $\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{\sigma}_1 \subset \eta^{-1}(X_{\mathrm{Sing}})$ which are disjoint. Since $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ are both different from $\eta^{-1}(\sigma_\infty)$, this contradicts lemma 2.9. ☐

2.2.2. *Proof of case (2) of theorem 2.4.*

Let $y \in Y$ be any closed point and set $X_y := \pi^{-1}(y)$ and $k := \deg L|_{X_y}$. By remark 2.8, we may assume that X_y is a rational curve with a single cusp. But then there exists a unique smooth point $x_y \in X_y$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{X_y}(kx_y) \cong L|_{X_y}$. A calculation of the deformation space of the cuspidal plane cubic yields that π is a locally trivial fiber bundle. In particular, by taking the union of the x_y we obtain a section $\sigma_0 \subset X_{\mathrm{Reg}}$ which does not meet the singular locus of X. Set $\tilde{\sigma}_0 := \eta^{-1}(\sigma_0)$, set $\tilde{\sigma}_1 := \eta^{-1}(X_{\mathrm{Sing}})$ and note that $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ are disjoint. By the assumption that $\sigma_\infty \cap X_y$ is a smooth point which is general with respect to $L|_{X_y}$, it follows that $\tilde{\sigma}_0$, $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ and $\eta^{-1}(\sigma_\infty)$ are distinct. Again, this contradicts lemma 2.9. □

2.2.3. *Proof of case (3) of theorem 2.4.* We may assume without loss of generality that there exist points $y \in Y$ such that $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is a nodal curve. Otherwise, we are in case (2) of the theorem.

Let $y \in Y$ be any closed point and set $X_y := \pi^{-1}(y)$. Since X_y is a rational curve with a single node or cusp, and $L|_{X_y}$ is a line bundle of degree two, $L|_{X_y}$ is basepoint-free and induces a 2:1 cover

$$\Gamma_y : X_y \to \mathbb{P}(H^0(L|_{X_y})^*) \cong \mathbb{P}_1.$$

If X_y is a nodal curve, and $\eta : \mathbb{P}_1 \to X_y$ the normalization, we know that the branch locus of $\Gamma_y \circ \eta$ consists of two distinct points x_0, x_1 which are not contained in the preimage of the singularity: $x_i \notin \eta^{-1}((X_y)_{\mathrm{Sing}})$. We extend Γ_y to a global map Γ:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{X} \arrow[r, "\eta", "\text{normalization}"'] \arrow[dr, bend right, "\tilde{\pi}"'] & X \arrow[r, "\Gamma"] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & \mathbb{P}(\pi_*(L)^*) \arrow[dl, bend left] \\
& Y &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Note that $\pi_*(L)$ is locally free of rank 2. Recall that $\tilde{X}$ is a minimal smooth ruled surface over Y and let $D \subset \tilde{X}$ be the (reduced) branch locus of $\Gamma \circ \eta$. Then D intersects every $\tilde{\pi}$-fiber in exactly 2 distinct points.

After performing another base change, if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that D is reducible. Write $D = \tilde{\sigma}_0 \cup \tilde{\sigma}_1$ and note that $\tilde{\sigma}_0 \cap \tilde{\sigma}_1 = \emptyset$, i.e. that $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_1$ are disjoint sections. But $\tilde{X}$ contains also a contractible section $\tilde{\sigma}_\infty$, which is a component of the preimage of $x \in X$. By assumption (3) of theorem 2.4, these three sections are distinct, contradiction. This ends the proof of theorem 2.4. □

3. Applications

3.1. **Families of singular curves on projective varieties.** The purpose of this section is to give an estimate of the dimension of the space of singular curves through a general point and the describe the singularities.

For the reader's convenience, we recall some facts about parameter spaces for rational curves. See [Kol96, II.2] for a detailed account of these matters. Let X be a projective variety and $\mathrm{Chow}(X)$ its Chow-variety with universal family

$$\mathrm{Univ}^{\mathrm{Chow}}(X) \subset X \times \mathrm{Chow}(X).$$

It can be shown that $\mathrm{Chow}(X)$ contains a quasi-projective subvariety $V \subset \mathrm{Chow}(X)$ parameterizing irreducible and generically reduced rational curves.

In characteristic 0, define $\mathrm{RatCurves}^n(X)$ to be the normalization of V, and note that the normalization of the universal family over $\mathrm{RatCurves}^n(X)$ becomes a $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle. In order to achieve the same in positive characteristic, let $\mathrm{RatCurves}^n(X)$ be the normalization of a canonically given finite cover of V.

Throughout the rest of this work we consider the following setup.

Notation-Assumptions 3.1. Let X is a projective variety (not necessarily normal) over k and $H \subset \mathrm{RatCurves}^n(X)$ an irreducible family (not necessarily proper) of rational curves with universal family $U \subset X \times H$ as follows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U \arrow[r, "\iota"] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & X \\
H &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Assume that ι is dominant. If $x \in X$ is any point, then define $H_x \subset H$ to be the subfamily of curves through x: $H_X := \pi(\iota^{-1}(x))_{\mathrm{red}}$. Write U_x for the restriction of the universal family and ι_x, π_x for the restrictions of the canonical morphisms.

We assume throughout the present section that H_x is proper for general choice of $x \in X$. We define $\mathrm{locus}(H_x) := \iota(U_x)$ with its reduced structure.

Remark 3.2. It is a main result of Mori theory that a family H ("minimal rational curves") satisfying the assumptions always exists if X is a Fano manifold.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $H^{\mathrm{Sing}} \subset H$ be the subfamily parametrizing singular curves and let $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ be a line bundle whose restriction to the curves is of positive degree. If $x \in X$ is a general point, then the following holds*

1. *The subfamily H_x^{Sing} of singular curves through x has dimension at most one. The subfamily $H_x^{\mathrm{Sing},x} \subset H_x^{\mathrm{Sing}}$ of curves which are singular at x is at most finite. If $H_x^{\mathrm{Sing},x}$ is not empty, then the associated curves are immersed.*
2. *If L intersects the curves with multiplicity two, then H_x^{Sing} is at most finite and $H_x^{\mathrm{Sing},x}$ is empty.*

For the applications it is important to keep in mind that the notion of a general point depends on the choice of the line bundle L.

Proof. As a first step, we need to find an estimate for the dimension of the subfamily of non-immersed curves. We let $H^{\mathrm{Sing,ni}} \subset H^{\mathrm{Sing}}$ be the closed subfamily of non-immersed curves and claim that

$$\dim H_x^{\mathrm{Sing,ni}} < 1. \tag{3.1}$$

Indeed, if $\dim H_x^{\mathrm{Sing,ni}} \geq 1$, then let $C \subset X$ be a singular curve which corresponds to a general point of a component of $H^{\mathrm{Sing,ni}}$ which is of maximal dimension. By lemma 2.3, we find a smooth point $y \in C$ which is general with respect to $L|_C$. We remark that C is not an isolated point point of $H_y^{\mathrm{Sing,ni}}$ and conclude by theorem 2.4.(2) that $H_y^{\mathrm{Sing,ni}}$ cannot be proper. But then H_y cannot be proper, contrary to our assumption. This shows the inequality (3.1).

Next, we show that $\dim H_x^{\mathrm{Sing}} \leq 1$. We will argue by contradiction and assume that $\dim H_x^{\mathrm{Sing}} \geq 2$. Now, if $H' \subset H_x^{\mathrm{Sing}}$ is any curve which parameterizes nodal curves in X, we can apply theorem 2.4.(1) to the family H' to see that H' is not proper. It follows that the closure $\overline{H'}$ intersects $H_x^{\mathrm{Sing,ni}}$ and therefore

$$\mathrm{codim}_{H_x^{\mathrm{Sing}}} H_x^{\mathrm{Sing,ni}} \leq 1.$$

Hence our claim follows from the inequality (3.1).

In order to show that $H_x^{\mathrm{Sing},x}$ is at most finite, perform a dimension count. It is clear that

$$\dim U^{\mathrm{Sing}} = \dim H^{\mathrm{Sing}} + 1 \geq \dim H_x^{\mathrm{Sing},x} + \dim X + 1,$$

which in turn implies that general fibers F of the natural projection $U^{\mathrm{Sing}} \to X$ are of dimension $\dim F \geq \dim H_x^{\mathrm{Sing},x} + 1$. Now it suffices to note that the natural map $F \to H_x^{\mathrm{Sing}}$ is finite, i.e. that $\dim F = \dim H_x^{\mathrm{Sing}}$ to obtain that

$$1 \geq \dim H_x^{\mathrm{Sing}} \geq \dim H_x^{\mathrm{Sing},x} + 1$$

which yields the finiteness result.

With the inequality (3.1), the same dimension count, using the family $H^{\mathrm{Sing,ni}}$, immediately shows that

$$1 > \dim H_x^{\mathrm{Sing,ni}} \geq \dim H_x^{\mathrm{Sing,ni},x} + 1$$

which means that all curves associated with $H_x^{\mathrm{Sing},x}$ are immersed. This ends the proof of statement (1).

To prove statement (2), we argue as above. Let $C \subset X$ be a singular curve corresponding to a general point of H^{Sing} and $y \in C$ general with respect to $L|_C$. By theorem 2.4.(3), the family H_y^{Sing} cannot be proper, contradiction.

□

3.2. **Existence of a finite tangent morphism.** If $\dim H_x > 1$, then theorem 3.3.(1) asserts that the general curve associated with H_x is smooth at x. If $\tilde{H}_x$ is the normalization of H_x, we may therefore define the "tangent map"

$$\tau_x : \tilde{H}_x \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(T_X^*|_x)$$

which associates a curve through x which is smooth at x with its tangent direction at x. Here we show that τ_x is always a morphism.

The image of the tangent map has been studied extensively in a series of papers by J.-M. Hwang and N. Mok. The authors informed us that theorem 3.4 can be used to give a different, simpler proof of the deformation rigidity of hermitian symmetric spaces.

Theorem 3.4. *Under the assumptions 3.1, if $x \in X$ is a general point, then the tangent map τ_x is a finite morphism.*

Proof. If $f : \mathbb{P}_1 \to X$ is a morphism which is birational onto its image, if $f(0) = x$ and the image $\mathrm{Image}(f)$ is a curve which is associated with $\tilde{H}_x$, then it follows from theorem 3.3.(1) that f is smooth in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{P}_1$. Now, to conclude that τ_x is a morphism, it suffices to realize that τ_x can be written as a composition

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{H}_x \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[dr, bend right=15, "\tau_x"'] & \mathrm{Hom}_{bir}(\mathbb{P}_1, X, 0 \mapsto x)/\,\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_1, 0) \arrow[d, "\beta"'] \\
& \mathbb{P}(T_X^*|_x)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}_{bir}(\mathbb{P}_1, X, 0 \mapsto x)$ is the parameter space of morphisms, and β sends a morphism f to the image of its tangent map: $\beta : f \mapsto Tf(T_{\mathbb{P}_1}|_0)$. The existence of α and the existence of the quotient space follows from universal properties —see [Kol96, II.3].

To show that τ_x is finite, we argue by contradiction. If τ_x was *not* finite, we could find a curve $H' \subset \tilde{H}_x$ such that $\tau_x(H')$ is a single point $v \in \mathbb{P}(T_X^*|_x)$. After changing base, we can assume that H' is smooth, and consider the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U' \arrow[r, "\iota'"] \arrow[d, "\pi'"'] & X \\
H' &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where U' is the normalization of the universal family and hence a $\mathbb{P}_1$-bundle over H'. There exists a section $\sigma_\infty \subset U'$ which contracts to the point x, and the restriction of the tangent morphism $T\iota'$ yields a morphism:

$$T\iota' : N_{\sigma_\infty, U'} \to v \cong k$$

where $N_{\sigma_\infty, U'}$ is the normal bundle of σ_∞ and v a line in $T_X|_x$. But since the normal bundle is not trivial, this map has to have a zero! Thus, there exist curves associated with H' which have non-immersed singularities at x. This contradicts theorem 3.3.(1), and we are done. ☐

3.3. **Uniqueness of rational curves through 2 points.** In the setup given in 3.1, the author conjectures that the map ι_x is always generically one-to-one onto its image. It is a direct consequence of theorem 3.3.(2) that this holds in the presence of a line bundle of low degree.

Theorem 3.5. *If there exists a line bundle $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ intersecting the curves with multiplicity 2, then ι_x is generically one-to-one onto its image. In particular, if $y \in \mathrm{locus}(H_x)$ is a general point, then there exists a unique curve in H containing both x and y.*

Proof. Following an argument of Miyaoka, ι_x is generically one-to-one if $H_x^{\mathrm{Sing},x} = \emptyset$, and the latter follows from theorem 3.3.(2). See [Kol96, V.3.7.5] for a proof of Miyaoka's result. ☐

Remark that if k is a field of characteristic zero, then "generically one-to-one" implies "birational". In finite characteristic this is of course not necessarily so, and ι_x will certainly not be birational in general.

3.4. **Characterization of $\mathbb{P}_n$.** In [KO73], Kobayashi and Ochiai characterized the projective space as the only Fano-manifold X whose canonical bundle is divisible by $\dim X + 1$. This result was generalized by several authors, e.g. in [KS99]. We employ theorem 3.5 to give a characterization of the projective space in terms of families of rational curves which improves on the known results.

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be a normal projective variety defined over $\mathbb{C}$, $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and $H \subset \mathrm{RatCurves}^n(X)$ an irreducible component. Let $x \in \mathrm{locus}(H)$ be a general closed point. Assume that H_x is proper and that $\mathrm{locus}(H_x) = X$. If $L.C = 2$ for a curve $C \in H$, then $X \cong \mathbb{P}_n$.*

By the classic argumentation of Mori, when X is a Fano-manifold and $-K_X.C > \dim X$ for all rational curves $C \subset X$, then a family H exists where H_x is proper and $\mathrm{locus}(H_x) = X$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{U}_x$ be the normalization of the universal family U_x and consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{U}_x \arrow[r, "\tilde{\iota}_x"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\pi}_x"' near start, "\mathbb{P}_1\text{-bundle}"' near end] & X \\
\tilde{H}_x \arrow[r, "\tau_x"] & \mathbb{P}(T^*_X|_x)
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows directly from theorem 3.5 that $\tilde{\iota}_x$ is birational and it follows immediately that τ_x is birational as well. But then τ_x is a finite birational morphism between normal spaces, and therefore isomorphic. In particular, $\tilde{H}_x$ and $\tilde{U}_x$ are smooth.

The induced map $\tilde{\iota}_x : \tilde{U}_x \to X$ is an isomorphism away from the section $D := \tilde{\iota}_x^{-1}(x)$, which is contracted: otherwise, Zariski's main theorem asserts that there exists a point $x' \in X$ and a positive dimensional subfamily of curves passing through both x and x'. But Mori's bend-and-break argument says that this cannot happen if H_x is unsplit. In particular, since $\tilde{U}_x$ is smooth it follows that X is smooth.

In this setting, an elementary theorem of Mori yields the claim. See [Kol96, V.3.7.8]. ☐

References

[CS95] K. Cho and E. Sato. Smooth projective varieties with ample vector bundle $\bigwedge^2 T_X$ in any characteristic. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 35:1–33, 1995.

[KO73] S. Kobayashi and T. Ochiai. Characterizations of complex projective spaces and hyperquadrics. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 13:31–47, 1973.

[Kol96] J. Kollár. *Rational Curves on Algebraic Varieties*, volume 32 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3. Folge*. Springer, 1996.

[KS99] Y. Kachi and E. Sato. Polarized varieties whose points are joined by rational curves of small degrees. *Illinois J. Math.*, 43(2):350–390, 1999.

Stefan Kebekus, Institut für Mathematik, Universität Bayreuth, 95440 Bayreuth, Germany

Current address: Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

E-mail address: `stefan.kebekus@uni-bayreuth.de`

URL: `http://btm8x5.mat.uni-bayreuth.de/~kebekus`